

MAR 20 2006

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL
FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

14

| | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Application Number | 10/719,189 |
| Filing Date | November 21, 2003 |
| First Named Inventor | John E. Campbell |
| Art Unit | 3672 |
| Examiner Name | Kenneth L. Thompson |
| Attorney Docket Number | 1030-27100 (00-RB69(1)) |

| ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement with PTO/SB/08A (3 pages) <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Continued Examination (RCE) |
| Remarks | | |

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

| | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|----------|--------|
| Firm Name | Conley Rose, P.C. | | |
| Signature | <i>Shannon W. Bates</i> | | |
| Printed name | Shannon W. Bates | | |
| Date | March 20, 2006 | Reg. No. | 47,412 |

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

Karen A. Harris

Typed or printed name

Karen A. Harris

Date

March 20, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the telephonic interview conducted March 14, 2006, between the Examiner Kenneth L. Thompson and the attorney representative for Applicants, Shannon W. Bates of Conley Rose, P.C.

Applicants' representative requested the interview to discuss the *Final Office Action*, and in particular, the rejection of independent claim 1 and independent claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,678,635 to Dunlap et al. (hereinafter *Dunlap*), which is a newly cited reference.

The Examiner explained that he considers the entire tool shown in *Dunlap* Figure 1 to constitute the "body" of claim 1 or the "slip housing" of claim 16, and therefore, the Examiner takes the position that this tool includes all of the limitations of claim 1 and claim 16. Referring to the last element of claim 1: "wherein said plurality of movable slips are positioned entirely within the body in the collapsed position", Applicants' representative pointed out that the moveable slips 52, 54 of *Dunlap* do not reside "within" any body in the collapsed position. Instead, the slips 52, 54 are disposed about the mandrel 22. In response, the Examiner explained that because the moveable slips 52, 54 do not extend radially beyond the outer diameter of the setting tool (ST) in the collapsed position, he considers the slips 52, 54 to be positioned entirely within the body in the collapsed position. Applicants' representative disagreed with this interpretation. No agreement was reached, and the Examiner indicated that the rejections would stand.

Possible amendments to claim 1 and claim 16 were also discussed. Applicants' representative pointed out that *Dunlap* does not teach slips that include a plurality of extensions corresponding to and engaging the plurality of angled channels according to dependent claim 2 and dependent claim 17. The Examiner indicated that combining claim 2 into claim 1 and claim 17 into claim 16, with further detailing of the location of the extensions, should overcome the *Dunlap* reference, but would require a further search. Accordingly, Applicants have provided such amendments to claim 1 and claim 16 in the present Response to the *Final Office Action*, which is being filed concurrently with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE).